# The Einstein–Dirac equation on Sasakian 3-manifolds☆

## Florin Alexandru Belgun

*Mathematisches Institut, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Unter den Linden 6, 10099 Berlin, Germany*

Received 4 September 2000

**Abstract**

We prove that a Sasakian 3-manifold admitting a non-trivial solution to the Einstein–Dirac equation has necessarily constant scalar curvature. In the case when this scalar curvature is non-zero, their classification follows then from a result by Th. Friedrich and E.C. Kim. We also prove that a scalar-flat Sasakian 3-manifold admits no local Einstein spinors. © 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

*MSC:* 53C25; 53C27

*Subj. Class.:* Spinors and Twistors; General relativity; Differential geometry

*Keywords:* Einstein–Dirac equation; Sasakian 3-manifolds

## 1. Introduction

On a (pseudo-)Riemannian spin manifold $(M, g)$, a solution of the Einstein–Dirac equation is an eigenspinor $\psi$ of the Dirac operator, i.e.

$$D\psi = \lambda\psi, \tag{1}$$

for a real constant $\lambda$, satisfying the Einstein equation

$$Ric - \tfrac{1}{2}S_g = \pm\tfrac{1}{4}T_\psi, \tag{2}$$

where *Ric* and *S* are the Ricci and the scalar curvature of the manifold, respectively, and $T_\psi$ is the *energy–momentum tensor* given by the spinor $\psi$ by the formula

$$T_\psi(X, Y) := (Y \cdot \nabla_X \psi + X \cdot \nabla_Y \psi, \psi) \quad \forall X, Y \in TM, \tag{3}$$

☆ Supported by the SFB 288 of the DFG.
  *E-mail address:* belgun@mathematik.hu-berlin.de (F.A. Belgun).

where $\nabla$ is the Levi–Civita-spin connection, the dot stands for Clifford multiplication, and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the *real part* of the Hermitian scalar product on the spin bundle $\Sigma$.

The system of Eqs. (1) and (2), henceforth called the Einstein–Dirac equation, has been considered in physics for a long time in dimension 4 and Lorentzian signature, as describing the interaction of a particle of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ with the gravitation field [2]. As it has recently been shown by Friedrich and Kim [4], the above equations arise as the Euler–Lagrange equations characterizing the extrema of the functional

$$W^\varepsilon(g, \psi) := \int_M (S_g + \varepsilon(\lambda(\psi, \psi) - (D^g \psi, \psi))) V_g, \tag{4}$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and $V_g$ is the volume form of the manifold. The coupling of Eqs. (1) and (2) arises naturally, as the differential identity (coming from the second Bianchi identity) satisfied by the Einstein tensor $Ric - \frac{1}{2} S_g$ is automatically satisfied by an energy–momentum tensor of type (3) if $\psi$ is an eigenspinor of the Dirac operator [4].

In the above-cited paper, Th. Friedrich and E.C. Kim constructed families of solutions of (1) and (2) — also called Einstein spinors — and one of their methods relies on the introduction of the *weak Killing* equation (for short WK):

$$\nabla_Y \psi = \frac{n}{2(n-1)S} \, dS(Y)\psi + \frac{2\lambda}{(n-2)S} Ric(Y) \cdot \psi$$
$$- \frac{\lambda}{n-2} Y \cdot \psi + \frac{1}{2(n-1)S} Y \cdot dS \cdot \psi, \tag{5}$$

where $n$ is the dimension of the manifold, and $\lambda$ a real constant, also called the WK *number* of the spinor $\psi$. They show that any WK spinor with WK number $\lambda$ yields (by multiplication with a constant) an Einstein spinor, of eigenvalue $\lambda$ for the Dirac operator. They obtain, for all odd $n$, examples of *Sasakian manifolds* with constant scalar curvature admitting non-trivial WK spinors, therefore also Einstein spinors.

Moreover, in dimension $n = 3$, the existence of a non-trivial solution to the Einstein–Dirac equation is equivalent, on the open set where $S$ does not vanish, to the existence of a non-trivial WK spinor. It is shown in [4] that, up to a local isometry, there are exactly three Sasakian metrics (see below) on 3-manifolds with constant scalar curvature (one of which is the round sphere $S^3$) admitting non-trivial such spinors. The aim of this paper is to investigate the case of a general Sasakian 3-manifold, we establish the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let $(M, g)$ be a simply-connected three-dimensional Sasakian manifold. Then it admits non-trivial Einstein spinors $\psi$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$ for the Dirac operator if and only if the scalar curvature $S$ is constant and equal to one of the following values:*
1. *$S = 6$, and M is locally isometric to the round 3-sphere, $\psi$ is a Killing spinor and $\lambda = \frac{3}{2}$;*
2. *$S = 1 + \sqrt{5}$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(2 + \sqrt{5})$, and $\psi$ is not a Killing spinor;*
3. *$S = 1 - \sqrt{5}$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(2 - \sqrt{5})$, and $\psi$ is not a Killing spinor.*

The examples (1)–(3) are due to Friedrich and Kim [4]. The last two examples are part of a 1-parameter (modulo homothety) family of metrics on 3-manifolds with constant

eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor, which, as shown by Friedrich [3], are the only ones that admit non-trivial Einstein spinors, and do not admit Killing spinors.

The proof of the theorem is divided in two steps: first (Section 3) we prove (using the WK equation (5)) that if the scalar curvature is non-vanishing and the manifold admits locally an Einstein spinor, then the scalar curvature is constant; in the second step (Section 4) we prove that if the scalar curvature of a Sasakian 3-manifold is constantly zero, then there is no Einstein spinor (note that the WK equation is not defined in this case).

## 2. Spinors on Sasakian 3-manifolds

Consider the *Clifford algebra* bundle $Cl(M)$ of a Riemannian oriented 3-manifold $M$, defined as the quotient of the tensor algebra $\otimes M$ by the bilateral ideal generated by the elements

$$X \otimes Y - Y \otimes X + 2g(X, Y).$$

Then $Cl(M)$ is isomorphic, as a vector bundle, to the exterior algebra bundle $\Lambda(M)$, there-fore its fiber has dimension 8. It is a standard fact [5] that $Cl(M)_x \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic, as a complex algebra, to the direct sum $End(\Sigma_x) \oplus End(\Sigma'_x)$ for $\Sigma_x$, $\Sigma'_x$ two-dimensional complex vector spaces. These two fundamental complex representations of $Cl(M)_x$, dis-tinguished by the action (equal to the identity on $\Sigma_x$ and to minus the identity on $\Sigma'_x$) of the central element $V := e_1 \cdot e_2 \cdot e_3$ (for $e_1, e_2, e_3$ an oriented orthonormal basis of $T_x M$) of square 1, are both isomorphic to the fundamental representation of the group $Spin(M)_x \subset Cl(M)_x$, which is the universal covering of $SO(M)_x$, therefore isomorphic to $SU(2)$.

**Convention.** We will consider, in this paper, the spinor bundle $\Sigma$, consisting of the fun-damental representations of $Cl(M)$ on which the *volume element V* acts like the identity (consideration of the other spinor bundle, on which the element above acts as minus the identity, leads to an equivalent situation; only that the WK number $\lambda$ arising in the WK equation (5) has to be replaced by its opposite).

In all generality, the spinor bundle $\Sigma$, as well as the $Spin(3) \simeq SU(2)$ principal bun-dle $Spin(M)$, double covering the frame bundle $SO(M)$, can only be considered locally. However, as any orientable 3-manifold is parallelizable, its frame bundle is trivial and the $Spin(M)$ bundle can be globally constructed: the manifold is *spin*. Actually, the restriction to spin manifolds is not essential for our purposes, as we consider local solutions of the Einstein–Dirac equation.

As $TM \subset Cl(M)$, it acts, by *Clifford multiplication*, denoted by a dot, on $\Sigma$; this action preserves the complex structure of $\Sigma \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$ (which can be seen as the structure induced by the left multiplication by the quaternion $i \in \mathbf{H}$ on $\mathbf{H} \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$), and $X \cdot \psi$ for $X \in T_x M \simeq \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{H})$ and $\psi \in \Sigma \simeq \mathbf{H}$ can be seen to be isomorphic to the right multiplication with the quaternion $X$ (if we had taken $\Sigma'$ for the spinor bundle, it would have been the right

multiplication by $-X$); the orientation of $T_x M \simeq \text{Im}(\mathbf{H})$ is supposed to be induced by the oriented basis $i, j, k \in \mathbf{H}$.

Let us now consider the case where $(M, g)$ is a *Sasakian manifold*.

**Definition 1.** A *Sasakian structure* on an oriented 3-manifold $M$ is given by a unitary Killing vector field $T$, such that $J := \nabla T \in End(T^\perp)$ is a complex structure on this rank 2 subbundle (i.e., its square is equal to minus the identity). The orientation compatibility is, by definition, such that $T, X, JX$ is an oriented orthonormal basis of $TM$ for any unitary vector $X \in T^\perp$.

**Remark.** The fact that $JX \perp X$ follows from the fact that $T$ is Killing, and the fact that $\nabla T$ can be restricted to $T^\perp$ is due to the additional fact that $T$ is unitary.

We notice that the integral curves of $T$ are geodesics, and the flow of $T$ preserves the complex structure on $Q := T^\perp$, thus a Sasakian metric on a 3-manifold is locally isometric to a real line bundle over a Riemann surface with the metric given by a metric on the basis $B$ (equivalently by its Kähler form $\omega_B$), one on the fiber, and a connection on this line bundle such that its curvature is $2i\omega_B$ [1]. This latter condition immediately follows from

$$\nabla_X T = JX,$$

as do the following ones:

$$[JX, T] = J[X, T] \in Q, \tag{6}$$

$$R_{T,X} = -T \wedge X, \tag{7}$$

$$R_{T,JX} = -T \wedge JX, \tag{8}$$

$$R_{X,JX} = -\tfrac{1}{2}(S - 4)X \wedge JX, \tag{9}$$

$$dS(T) = 0, \tag{10}$$

$$Ric = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tfrac{1}{2}S - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tfrac{1}{2}S - 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11}$$

where the Ricci tensor has been realized as a $3 \times 3$ matrix using the positive orthonormal basis $\{T, X, JX\}$, and $S$ denotes the scalar curvature.

## 3. Proof of the theorem — the case $S \neq 0$

Let $(M^3, g, T)$ be a Sasakian 3-manifold, and endow it with the orientation given by the basis $T, X, JX$ for $0 \neq X \in T^\perp$, and $JX := \nabla_X T$. Then $M$ is spin, and suppose the Einstein–Dirac equation (1) and (2) admits a non-trivial solution $\psi \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$. Consider

first the open set of $M$ where the scalar curvature $S$ does not vanish. It follows then from [4] that, on this open set, $\psi$ must be a solution of the WK equation (5):

$$\nabla_Y \psi = \frac{3}{4S}\, \mathrm{d}S(Y)\psi + \frac{2\lambda}{S} Ric(Y) \cdot \psi - \lambda Y \cdot \psi + \frac{1}{4S} Y \cdot \mathrm{d}S \cdot \psi. \tag{12}$$

We can choose a unitary vector field $X \perp T$ on a neighborhood of a point $x_0 \in M$, such that:

$$[X, T] = 0 \ \text{ everywhere}, \tag{13}$$

$$(\nabla_X JX)_{x_0} = -T. \tag{14}$$

We rewrite the WK equation for the special cases $Y = T$ and $Y = X$, replacing $\mathrm{d}S$ by $\mathrm{d}S(X)X + \mathrm{d}S(JX)JX$ (recall that $\mathrm{d}S(T) = 0$):

$$\nabla_T \psi = \frac{(4 - S)\lambda}{S} T \cdot \psi + \frac{\mathrm{d}S(JX)}{4S} X \cdot \psi - \frac{\mathrm{d}S(X)}{4S} JX \cdot \psi, \tag{15}$$

$$\nabla_X \psi = \frac{\mathrm{d}S(X)}{2S} \psi - \frac{\mathrm{d}S(JX)}{4S} T \cdot \psi - \frac{2\lambda}{S} X \cdot \psi. \tag{16}$$

We want to prove that the existence of a non-trivial local solution of this equation implies that the scalar curvature $S$ is constant.

Suppose that $\psi$ is such a solution, then it is non-vanishing [4] and thus $\{\psi, T \cdot \psi, X \cdot \psi, JX \cdot \psi\}$ is an orthogonal basis for $\Sigma$. We are going to compute $R_{T,X} \cdot \psi$ using the WK equations for $\psi$ (15), and compare this with the known value of it (7)–(11), i.e. $-(T \wedge X) \cdot \psi = -\frac{1}{2} JX \cdot \psi$. We will develop it on the above-mentioned basis of $\Sigma$, and will actually be concerned only with the coefficient of $T \cdot \psi$. We define $\eta : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\eta(\psi) = \eta(X \cdot \psi) = \eta(JX \cdot \psi) = 0$ and $\eta(T \cdot \psi) = 1$, then the coefficient we are looking to identify at $\nabla_T \nabla_X \psi$ and $\nabla_X \nabla_T \psi$ is $\eta(\nabla_T \nabla_X \psi)$, respectively, $\eta(\nabla_X \nabla_T \psi)$ (they need to be equal because $R_{T,X}$ — see (7)–(11) — acts on $\psi$ as (a constant times) the Clifford multiplication by $JX$).

Let us write Eqs. (15) and (16) as

$$\nabla_T \psi = A\psi := a\psi + a^T T \cdot \psi + a^X X \cdot \psi + a^{JX} JX \cdot \psi, \tag{17}$$

$$\nabla_X \psi = B\psi := b\psi + b^T T \cdot \psi + b^X X \cdot \psi + b^{JX} JX \cdot \psi. \tag{18}$$

As we have that $b^T$ is constant along $T$,

$$\eta(\nabla_T \nabla_X \psi) = \eta(BA\psi) = ba^T + b^T a - b^X a^{JX} + b^{JX} a^X,$$

and, as $a = 0$ and $b^{JX} = 0$, we get

$$\eta(\nabla_T \nabla_X \psi) = ba^T - b^X a^{JX}. \tag{19}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\eta(\nabla_X \nabla_T \psi) = \mathrm{d}a^T(X) - a^{JX} + \eta(AB\psi),$$

where the first term on the r.h.s. comes from the derivation of the coefficient of $T \cdot \psi$ in $\nabla_T \psi$, and the second appears because, at the given point $x_0$ where we make the computations, $\nabla_X JX = -T$ (and $\nabla_X X = 0$). We get then

$$\eta(\nabla_X \nabla_T \psi) = ba^T + b^X a^{JX} + da^T(X) - a^{JX}. \tag{20}$$

By setting $\eta(R_{T,X} \cdot \psi) = 0$, from (19) and (20) we get

$$2b^X a^{JX} + da^T(X) - a^{JX} = 0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$(4\lambda - 16\lambda + S)\frac{dS(X)}{4S^2} = 0. \tag{21}$$

We could have started with another vector $X \in T^\perp$, and in any other point $x_0$, so the above equation should hold everywhere, and for any vector $X$ orthogonal to $T$. If we suppose that $S$ is not constant, then on an open set, we have $dS \neq 0$, thus we can find a vector field $X$ such that, on an open set, we have $dS(X) \neq 0$. From (21), we get then that $12\lambda - S = 0$ on an open set, thus $S$ is constant there, contradiction.

It follows then that $S$ is constant everywhere, it is non-zero, thus either it is constant and non-zero, or it is identically zero. In the first case, it has been established by Th. Friedrich and E.C. Kim that only the possibilities listed above in the theorem exist. In the second case, from the Einstein–Dirac equation, it follows [4] that

$$S = \mp \lambda |\psi|^2,$$

hence $\lambda = 0$ (as $\psi$ is supposed to be non-trivial).

Thus, any three-dimensional Sasakian manifold with non-zero scalar curvature, admitting a non-trivial solution to the WK equation, has constant scalar curvature.

## 4. Proof of the theorem — case $S = 0$

Let $(M, g, T)$ be, as in Section 3, a Sasakian 3-manifold, and suppose in addition that its scalar curvature, $S$, is identically zero. All such manifolds are locally isometric, and any compact example is, up to a finite covering, $S^3$ equipped with a Berger metric, such that the metric on the fibers of the Hopf fibration $S^3 \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ bear the usual metric (i.e. are circles of length $2\pi$), and are orthogonal to the horizontal spaces (consisting in the canonical contact structure on $S^3$), on which the metric comes from a constant $K = \frac{1}{2}$ curvature metric on $\mathbb{CP}^1$ (the round metric on $S^3$ is induced by a constant $K = 2$ curvature metric on $\mathbb{CP}^1$).

Let $\psi$ be a solution of the Einstein–Dirac equation (1) and (2), if we suppose it is non-trivial, then it does not vanish on an open set, to which we restrict ourselves from now on. We can thus write $\psi = e^f \phi$, where $f$ is a real-valued function and $\phi$ a unitary spinor. The Einstein–Dirac equations are then equivalent to

$$D\phi + df \cdot \phi = 0, \tag{22}$$

$$(X \cdot \nabla_Y \phi + Y \cdot \nabla_X \phi, \phi) = \pm 4 e^{-2f} Ric(X, Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in TM. \tag{23}$$

If we denote by $A$, $B$, $C$ a positive orthonormal basis of $TM$, by developing the first equation above, and by Clifford-multiplying it by $C$, we get

$$(A \cdot \nabla_B \phi - B \cdot \nabla_A \phi, \phi) = df(C), \tag{24}$$

from which, using the fact that $\phi$ is unitary, and (23), we obtain the following system of equations (the remaining two are obtained by circular permutations of the one below), which are linear for $\phi$, and one can easily check that they are equivalent to the Einstein–Dirac equations:

$$\nabla_A \phi = \mp 2\,e^{-2f} Ric(A, A) A \cdot \phi - \tfrac{1}{2}\,df(C)B \cdot \phi + \tfrac{1}{2}\,df(B)C \cdot \phi.$$

We specialize for the basis $T$, $X$, $JX$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_T \phi &= \mp 4\,e^{-2f} T \cdot \phi + \tfrac{1}{2}\,df(JX)X \cdot \phi - \tfrac{1}{2}\,df(X)JX \cdot \phi, \\
\nabla_X \phi &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\,df(JX)T \cdot \phi \pm 2\,e^{-2f} X \cdot \phi + \tfrac{1}{2}\,df(T)JX \cdot \phi, \\
\nabla_{JX} \phi &= +\tfrac{1}{2}\,df(X)T \cdot \phi - \tfrac{1}{2}\,df(T)X \cdot \phi \pm 2\,e^{-2f} JX \cdot \phi.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

So $\phi$ satisfies to a linear first order PDE, and can be geometrically interpreted as a parallel section of the spinor bundle for a certain linear connection, defined by the equations above. The only local obstruction to the existence of such a section is thus contained in the curvature of this connection, or, equivalently, such a section $\phi$ exists if and only if the expressions of the type $\nabla_A \nabla_B \phi - \nabla_B \nabla_A \phi - \nabla_{[A,B]} \phi$, computed using Eq. (25), coincide with the known values of the curvature $R_{A,B}\phi$. We get, after similar computations as in Section 3, the following expressions for the curvature terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{T,X}\phi &= [-\tfrac{1}{2}\,d^2 f(T, JX) \mp 6\,e^{-2f}\,df(X) + \tfrac{1}{2}\,df(T)\,df(JX)]T \cdot \phi \\
&\quad + [-\tfrac{1}{2}\,d^2 f(X, JX) + \tfrac{1}{2}\,df(X)\,df(JX)]X \cdot \phi + [\tfrac{1}{2}\,d^2 f(T, T) \\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}\,d^2 f(X, X) \pm 6\,e^{-2f} + \tfrac{1}{2}\,df(JX)^2 - 16\,e^{-4f}]JX \cdot \phi, \\
R_{X,JX}\phi &= [\tfrac{1}{2}\,d^2 f(X, X) + \tfrac{1}{2}\,d^2 f(JX, JX) \mp 12\,e^{-2f} + 8\,e^{-4f} + \tfrac{1}{2}\,df(T)^2]T \cdot \phi \\
&\quad + [-\tfrac{1}{2}\,d^2 f(T, X) + \tfrac{1}{2}\,df(T)\,df(X) \pm 6\,e^{-2f}\,df(JX)]X \cdot \phi \\
&\quad + [-\tfrac{1}{2}\,d^2 f(T, JX) \mp 6\,e^{-2f}\,df(X) + \tfrac{1}{2}\,df(T)\,df(JX)]JX \cdot \phi, \\
R_{JX,T}\phi &= [-\tfrac{1}{2}\,d^2 f(T, X) + \tfrac{1}{2}\,df(T)\,df(X) \pm 6\,e^{-2f}\,df(JX)]T \cdot \phi + [\tfrac{1}{2}\,d^2 f(T, T) \\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}\,d^2 f(JX, JX) \pm 6\,e^{-2f} - 16\,e^{-4f} + \tfrac{1}{2}\,df(X)^2]X \cdot \phi \\
&\quad + [-\tfrac{1}{2}\,d^2 f(X, JX) + \tfrac{1}{2}\,df(X)\,df(JX)]X \cdot \phi.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

We have denoted by $d^2 f$ the Hessian of $f$, $d^2 f(X, Y) := X \cdot (Y \cdot f) - (\nabla_X Y) \cdot f$. This leads to a system of second order equations for the function $f$, if we replace $df$, each time it appears with the (closed) 1-form $\theta$, we get, from (26), the following equations for $\theta$:

$$\nabla_T \theta(X) = \nabla_X \theta(T) = \pm 12\,e^{-2f}\theta(JX) + \theta(T)\theta(X), \tag{27}$$

$$\nabla_T \theta(JX) = \nabla_{JX}\theta(T) = \mp 12\,e^{-2f}\theta(X) + \theta(T)\theta(JX), \tag{28}$$

$$\nabla_X \theta(JX) = \nabla_{JX}\theta(X) = \theta(X)\theta(JX), \tag{29}$$

$$\nabla_T \theta(T) = \mp 24\,\mathrm{e}^{-2f} + 40\,\mathrm{e}^{-4f} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\theta(T)^2 - \theta(X)^2 - \theta(JX)^2] - 2, \tag{30}$$

$$\nabla_X \theta(X) = \pm 12\,\mathrm{e}^{-2f} - 8\,\mathrm{e}^{-4f} + \tfrac{1}{2}[-\theta(T)^2 + \theta(X)^2 - \theta(JX)^2] + 1, \tag{31}$$

$$\nabla_{JX} \theta(JX) = \pm 12\,\mathrm{e}^{-2f} - 8\,\mathrm{e}^{-4f} + \tfrac{1}{2}[-\theta(T)^2 - \theta(X)^2 + \theta(JX)^2] + 1. \tag{32}$$

We have two cases: either $\mathrm{d}f = \theta$ is identically zero, and then the last three equations above lead to a contradiction, or we can restrict ourselves to an open set where it does not vanish, and then we compare again the curvature terms $R_{A,B}$ coming from Eqs. (27)–(30) with the known values (7)–(11).

We compute $\nabla_T \nabla_X \theta(T) = T \cdot (\nabla_X \theta(T))$ (as $\nabla_T T = 0$), then $\nabla_X \nabla_T \theta(T) = X \cdot (\nabla_T \theta(T)) - \nabla_{JX}\theta(T)$ (recall that $\nabla_X T = JX$) from the equations above (and replace $\mathrm{d}f$ by $\theta$), we get

$$R_{T,X}\theta(T) = (\mp 84\,\mathrm{e}^{-2f} + 48\,\mathrm{e}^{-4f} - 1)\theta(X) \mp \mathrm{e}^{-2f}\theta(T)\theta(JX),$$

as it has to be identically equal to a constant times $\theta(X)$ (see (7)–(11)), it follows that $f$ is a constant, or equivalently, $\theta = 0$. This ends the proof of the theorem.

## Acknowledgements

## References

[1] F.A. Belgun, Normal CR structures on compact 3-manifolds, Math. Z., in press.
[2] R. Bill, J.A. Wheeler, Interaction of neutrinos and gravitational fields, Rev. Mod. Phys. 29 (1957) 465–479.
[3] Th. Friedrich, Solutions of the Einstein–Dirac equation on Riemannian manifolds with constant scalar curvature, J. Geom. Phys. 36 (2000) 199–210.
[4] Th. Friedrich, E.C. Kim, The Einstein–Dirac equation on Riemannian spin manifolds, J. Geom. Phys. 33 (2000) 128–172.
[5] H.B. Lawson, M.-L. Michelson, Spin Geometry, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.